

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	!	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,738	713,738 11/13/2003		Kulvir Singh Bhogal	AUS920030864US1	AUS920030864US1 2700	
28722	7590	07/21/2005		EXAMINER		
BRACEW	ELL & P	ATTERSON, L.L.I	LEA EDMONDS, LISA S			
P.O. BOX 9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969					TATER NOMBER	
		•		2835		

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,738	BHOGAL, KULVIR SINGH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa Lea-Edmonds	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No. 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,11-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Preferences Great (170-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/713,738 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out a
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 5 and 15 recites the limitation "the connection port" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wooden et al. (6445576). With respect claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8, Wooden et al. teaches a housing bay (120) providing access to an internal data port (122) in a computer, the housing bay (120) comprising an access door (121) rotatably connected to an opening of a computer housing (100), wherein the access door (121) occludes the opening in the computer housing (100) when the access door (121) is closed, the access door (121) having a hinged end having a hinge (124) that is connected to the computer housing (100), a data port (122) proximate to the hinged end of the access door (121), the data port (122) capable of providing

Application/Control Number: 10/713,738

Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

a data connection between a data line inside the computer and an peripheral device, and an open end (116) for manually accessing the peripheral device when coupling or decoupling the peripheral device to the data port (122), wherein, when open, the access door (121) angles away from an exterior surface of the computer to allow the peripheral device to be inserted into or removed from the data port (122), the data port (122) being in an interior of the computer, wherein, when closed, the access door (121) is co-planar to the exterior surface of the computer, the peripheral device being operable when the access door (121) is closed, wherein the access door (121) is co-planar to the exterior surface of the computer regardless of whether the peripheral device is inside the computer or not, wherein the housing bay further comprising securement clips (see the rail structure for figures 2-4) physically attached to the access door (121), the securement clips securing the peripheral device to an interior of the access door (121), and the securement clips providing an alignment for a connection of the peripheral device to the data port, wherein the peripheral device is a data storage device. With respect to method claims, 11, 13, 14, 16, and 18, Wooden et al. teaches the method steps as claimed, in that the apparatus, as claimed by claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8 and rejected above, are tough by Wooden et al. (see for example figures 1-4). With respect to claim 20, Wooden et al. teaches a computer having a housing bay (120) that provides access to an internal data port (122) in the computer, the computer comprising an access door (121) rotatably connected to an opening of a computer housing (100), wherein the access door (121) occludes the opening in the computer housing when the access door (121) is closed, the access door having a hinged end having a hinge (124) that is connected to the computer housing (100), a data port (122) proximate to the hinged end of the access door (121), the data port (122) capable of providing a data connection between a data line inside the computer and an peripheral device. and an open end (116) for manually accessing the peripheral device when coupling or

Application/Control Number: 10/713,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

decoupling the peripheral device to the data port (122); wherein, when open, the access door (121) angles away from an exterior surface of the computer to allow the peripheral device to be inserted into or removed from the data port (122), the data port (122) being in an interior of the computer (see for example figures 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5, 7, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wooden et al. (6445576). With respect to claims 5 and 15, Wooden et al. teaches the invention as set forth by claims 1 and 11 (see the above rejection). However, Wooden et al. lacks a teaching of the connection port being physically connected to the hinged end of the access door as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the data port (122) of Wooden et al. to be physically connected to the hinged end of the access door since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. With respect to claims 7 and 17, Wooden et al. teaches the invention as set forth by claims 1 and 11 (see the above rejection). However, Wooden et al. lacks a teaching of the data port is a universal serial bus (USB) port as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the data port (122) of Wooden et al. to be a universal serial bus

Application/Control Number: 10/713,738 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

(USB) port, as the USB port is merely one of many "off the shelf" ports that are readily accessible to one skilled in the art at the present time.

6. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wooden et al. (6445576) as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Kostick (5961359). With respect to claims 2 and 12, Wooden et al. teaches the invention as set forth by claims 1 and 11 (see the above rejection). However, Wooden et al. lacks a teaching of the peripheral device being inoperable when the access door (121) is open. The apparatus of Kostick is relied upon solely for its teaching of a device being inoperable when the access door is open (see for example column 5 lines 40-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the teachings of Kostick to be incorporated into the apparatus of Wooden et al. to maintain a safe and relatively dust free environment.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 10 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: as to claims 9, 10 and 19, patentability resides, at least in part, in the computer further comprising an electronic lock which is capable of being unlocked by inputting a code into the computer and a switch plunger on the access door being aligned with a disabling switch inside the computer which disables the data port inside the computer, as claimed and in combination with the other limitations form the base claims.

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please note the teachings of Liu (6227632), Diaz (20020101710), Jeong (5572402), Eddings et al. (6157540), Spence et al. (6407911), and Saito et al. (20010036060).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Lea-Edmonds whose telephone number is 571-272-2043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on (571) 272-2800, ext 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Tea Edminds
Lisa Lea-Edmonds
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2835

2005-07-19